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SUMMARY

Whereas $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ and $\mathrm{RNC}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}$, and Cy$)$ react to give mixtures of $\left[(\mathrm{RNC})_{5} \mathrm{Co}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ and the covalent, carbonyl-bridged $\left[\left(\mathrm{RNC}_{m} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8-m}\right]\right.$ derivatives $(m=1-3),\left[\left(\pi \text {-dienyl) } \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]_{2}\right.$ give only $\left[(\pi \text {-dienyl })_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4-n}(\mathrm{CNR})_{n}\right]$ complexes (dienyl $=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{MeC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}$; $n=1-2$ ) that exist in solution as mixtures of cis- and trans-CO- and RNC-bridged tautomers with the $\mu$-RNC species decreasing in importance as the bulk of $\mathbf{R}$ increases.

We have reinvestigated the reaction of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ with isocyanides, and have found that at room temperature a series of $\left[(\mathrm{RNC})_{m} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8-m}\right]$ complexes are formed as well as the previously reported $\left[(\mathrm{RNC})_{5} \mathrm{Co}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ salts ${ }^{1,2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}$, and Cy ; $m=1-3$ ). The covalent compounds contain terminal CO , bridging CO , and terminal RNC ligands (Table 1). $\mathrm{Co}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ gives a range of similar complexes as well as others which we have not identified, but with $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}$, only $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CNR})_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ salts were obtained.

Isocyanides will replace one ${ }^{3-5}$, or two CO ligands of $\left[\left(\pi \text {-dienyl) } \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]_{2}\right.$ compounds. In solution, the $\left[(\pi \text {-dienyl })_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4-n}(\mathrm{CNR})_{n}\right]$ derivatives (dienyl $=$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{MeC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, or $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} ; n=1$ or 2 ) exist as mixtures of tautomers in equilibria which depend on the solvent, temperature, and $\mathbf{R}$ (Table 1). Increasing the bulk of $R$ brings about a decrease in the importance of those isomers of the monosubstituted complexes which have a bridging RNC group as these would be destabilized by the increasing steric interaction of R with a ( $\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})$ moiety. When the isocyanide is terminally coordinated, such an interaction is not possible. A similar effect appears to operate when $n=2$. In the case of $\left[\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CNBz})\right]$, it is probably the electronic
TABLE 1
INFRARED SPECTRA OF SOME COBALT AND IRON COMPLEXES WITH ISOCYANIDE LIGANDS IN HEXANE SOLUTION

| Compound ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Absorption bands ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{CyNC}) \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ | 1835(10) | 1842(9.3) | 1876(0.7) | 1996(8.3) | 2014(14.7) | 2028(31.0) | 2064(7.0) | 2067(7.0) | 2156(4,2, br) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $(\mathrm{CyNC})_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ | 1822(9.3) | 1830(10) | 1863(0.5) | 1982(9.3) | 2002(16.8) | 2012(23.0) | 2041(6.2) |  | 2143(8.3, br) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $(\mathrm{CyNC})_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ | 1810(10) | 1817(9.8) |  | 1967(10) | 1975(10.7) | 1991(11.5) | 1997(13.6) | 2018(5.8) | 2129(12.0, br) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\left\{(\mathrm{CyNC})_{5} \mathrm{Co}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}$ |  |  |  |  | 1889(8.0) |  | 2122(7.7) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2153(10) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $2179(5.6)^{c}$ |
| $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CNB2})$ | $1720(12.0){ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1772(10) | $1803(19.5)^{d}$ |  | 1953(33.2) | 1999(37.4) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 2119(1.1, br) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CNMC})$ | 1737(5.9) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1774(10) | $1802(4.7)^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1953(14.8) | 1999(11.3) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 2134(2.7, br) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CNEt})$ | 1741(2.0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1773(10) | $1799(3.1){ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1953(11.3) | 1998(7,2) ${ }^{d}$ |  | $2127(2.7, \mathrm{br})^{c}$ |
| $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CNBu}-\mathrm{i})$ | 1731(1.4) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1775(10) | $1798(2.1)^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1953(8.7) | $1997(4.3){ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 2122(1.9, br) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CNCy})$ | $1740(0.5){ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1772(10) | 1801(1.0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 1952(6.3) | 1996(1.7) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | $2112(1.6, \mathrm{br}){ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CNBLrt})$ |  |  | 1771(10) | 1796(sh) |  | 1952(6.8) |  | 2072(1.0) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2108(1.7, br) $c$ |
| $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{CNMe})_{2}$ | $1720(21.4){ }^{\text {d }}$ d |  |  | $1784(10)^{d}$ | 1944(15.3) | $1953(13.2)^{a}$ | $1990(29.0)^{e}$ |  | $2127(4.4, \mathrm{br}){ }^{c}, \mathrm{~d}$ |
| $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{CNCy})_{2}$ | $1697(10.1){ }^{d, e}$ |  |  | 1783(10) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1941(13.4) | 1951(7.1) ${ }^{e}$ | 1987(4.7) ${ }^{e}$ |  | $2111(5.2, \mathrm{br})^{c, d}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Peak positions $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ with relative peak heights in parentheses. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Cy}=$ cyclohexyl, $\mathrm{i} \mathrm{Bu}=$ isobutyl, t - $\mathrm{Bu}=$ tert-butyland $\mathrm{Bz}=$ benzyl. ${ }^{c}$ Due to terminal $\nu(\mathrm{CN})$ vibrations;
$b r=$ broad. ${ }^{d}$ Due solely or in past to species containing one bridging isocyanide ligand. ${ }^{e}$ Due solely or in part to species containing two bridging isocyanide ligands.
effects of the benzyl group which cause the $\mu$-RNC species to be so important. The shapes of the absorption bands due to the terminal and bridging $\nu(\mathrm{CN})$ vibrations of the monosubstituted complexes are consistent with cis and trans forms of both the terminal and bridged isocyanide species. In the spectrum of $\left[\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CNBu}-\mathrm{t})\right]$, the terminal $\nu(\mathrm{CN})$ absorption band may be resolved into its two components.
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